

Journal of Natural Resource Conservation and Management Vol. 5, No. 2, pp 137-144, 2024

doi: 10.51396/ANRCM.5.2.2024.137-144



Studies on genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance, correlation and path analysis in dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L.) under tarai region of Uttarakhand

Anju Pal¹, Priyanka Rilkotia¹ and Bhagwan Das Bhuj¹

¹Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145, U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand

Received: August 04, 2024 Revised: November 11, 2024 Accepted: November 16, 2024 Published: December 31, 2024

ABSTRACT

Genetic variability, inter-relation of the characters and path analysis between yield and its contributing traits were studied in eleven genotypes of dahlia (Dahlia variabilis L.). The analysis of variance revealed significant differences among the genotypes for all the fifteen characters studied. Higher estimates of PCV were recorded for all the characters but the difference between PCV and GCV was narrow which indicates that there was very meagre influence of environment on the expression of these characters. The highest P.C.V (27.59%) and G.C.V (27.50%) value was exhibited by the flower weight. High heritability (98.74%) coupled with high genetic advance (66.75) was reported for leaf area. At phenotypic and genotypic level, number of flowers per plant showed positive significant correlation with flower stalk length (0.321 and 0.323, respectively), internodal length (0.388 and 0.391, respectively) and plant height (0.393 and 0.402, respectively) and highly significant positive correlation with number of branches per plant (0.799 and 0.840, respectively), number of leaves per plant (0.560 and 0.871, respectively) and flower weight (0.575 and 0.578, respectively) whereas, negative significant correlation with duration of flowering (-0.401 and -0.404, respectively). Maximum positive direct effect on number of flowers per plant was exhibited by number of leaves per plant (0.541 and 0.659, respectively) whereas, highest negative direct effect on number of flowers per plant was exhibited by days to first flowering (-0.109 and -0.092, respectively) at phenotypic and genotypic level. Thus, the above traits which showed positive significant correlation as well as positive direct effects must be considered for yield improvement.

Keywords: Dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L.), Genetic variability, Heritability, Genetic advance, Correlation, Path analysis.

INTRODUCTION

Dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L.) is one of the most widely grown bulbous flowers and it's chromosome number is 2n = 64. It is a half-hardy perennial, herbaceous flowering, dicot plant belonging to the family Asteraceae with tuberous roots. Though there are more than 20 species and different cultivars present with varied number of hues, texture, forms, shape and size of florets with different peduncle, stalk length, vigour and symmetry available in the world which lead to wide range of variation and

even they contain many transposons that shifts from one place to other upon an allele which brings such great diversity and this variation might results from dahlias being octoploids i.e., they have 8 sets of homologous chromosomes, whereas most plants lack this ploidy level. The wide diversity leads to a lot of scope for improving these traits through breeding as this rich pool of diversity furnish the resource for incessant selection of adapted genotypes. The phenotypic character of the plant is governed by genotype and environment interaction, although the

^{*}Corresponding author email: anjupal128@gmail.com

variation due to environment is non-heritable, so selection based on phenotypic expression is less efficient than the genotypic expression. Hence, genetic variability plays significant role in the selection of the improved genotype as it aids the plant breeder for better exploitation of the genotype. Although yield is governed by several yield contributing characters and it is highly swayed by environment (Chejara et al., 2021; Jasrotia et al., 2023). Association of characters can be assessed by correlation coefficient. The path coefficient analysis helps in determining direct and indirect effect of various component towards yield, is a functional method reported by Wright (1921). Genetic diversity is helpful in identifying the suitable donor parent for hybridization and for the development of the most promising recombinants. Thus, estimation of correlation coefficient between characters are a matter of great concern when it comes to selecting indices and also grant the prediction of correlated response (Al-Jibouri et al., 1958).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Model Floriculture Centre, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand, India during 2020-2021. The experiment was laid out in Randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. Five plants were randomly selected from each replication for carrying out performance studies. Total eleven

varieties were involved in the experiment. Rooted cuttings were transplanted in to the bed at a spacing of 60×45 cm and the dimension of each plot was $1.5 \text{ m} \times 1.5 \text{ m}$. All the recommended agronomic practices and intercultural operations were followed to raise a good flower crop. The data were analysed by using OPSTAT statistical package. Phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation were calculated as per formula described by Burton and Devane (1953). Heritability, in broad sense, was calculated as suggested by Allard (1960) and genetic advance as per cent of mean was calculated as suggested by Johnson et al. (1955). Observations recorded for the 15 characters were plant height, plant spread, number of branches per plant, stem girth, number of leaves per plant, internodal length, leaf area, days to bud appearance, days to flowering, duration of flowering, flower diameter, flower weight, flower stalk length, vase life and number of flowers per plant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 depicted the estimates of genetic variability parameters which consists of range, phenotypic coefficient of variation (P.C.V), genotypic coefficient of variation (G.C.V), heritability, genetic advance and genetic advance as percent of mean (%). The analysis of variance revealed significant differences among the genotypes for the 15 characters of dahlia. In the present study, the maximum range was reported for leaf area

Table 1.	Estimates	of variability	and other	genetic	narameters	in	Dahlia	variabilis L.

Characters	Range	Mean ±S.E.	P.C.V (%)	G.C.V (%)	Heritability (%)	Genetic advance	Genetic advance as per cent mean (%)
Plant height (cm)	58.46 - 108.50	85.39 ± 1.21	17.49	17.32	98.03	30.16	35.32
Plant spread (cm)	39.55 - 51.37	46.40 ± 0.66	7.89	7.50	90.31	6.81	14.67
Number of branches per plant	8.20 - 11.23	10.12 ± 0.16	10.33	9.97	93.24	2.01	19.86
Stem girth (cm)	1.08 - 1.50	1.32 ± 0.02	10.12	9.98	80.00	0.43	32.57
Number of leaves per plant	48.61 - 99.79	71.46 ± 0.89	25.38	25.29	99.28	37.10	51.91
Internodal length (cm)	7.50 - 17.76	12.60 ± 0.14	26.45	26.38	99.46	6.83	54.20
Leaf area (cm ²)	99.68 - 220.13	152.70 ± 2.13	21.49	21.36	98.74	66.75	43.71
Days to bud appearance (days)	68.33 - 90.00	77.78 ± 1.12	9.63	9.31	93.29	14.40	18.51
Days to flowering (days)	90.23 - 119.50	102.51 ± 2.05	9.42	8.76	86.54	17.22	16.79
Duration of flowering (days)	45.23 - 59.26	53.25 ± 2.58	10.15	9.76	92.50	18.72	19.34
Flower diameter (cm)	14.56 - 21.85	18.03 ± 0.24	14.17	13.98	97.30	5.12	28.39
Flower weight (gm)	18.81 - 41.79	31.20 ± 0.40	27.59	27.50	99.35	17.62	56.47
Flower stalk length (cm)	13.58 - 21.62	18.49 ± 0.27	12.40	12.15	95.90	4.53	24.49
Vase life (days)	3.52 - 5.89	4.65 ± 0.07	17.18	16.96	97.50	1.60	34.40
Number of flowers per plant	9.00 - 19.91	14.76 ± 0.23	21.96	21.80	98.53	6.58	44.57

P.C.V: Phenotypic coefficient of variation, G.C.V: Genotypic coefficient of variation

 $(99.68-220.13 \text{ cm}^2)$ with a mean value (152.70 ± 1.00) 2.13) indicating wide variation in flower yield (number of flowers per plant) of different genotypes. Similar results were observed by Vikas (2009) and Kumar (2019), reported maximum range for leaf area in dahlia. General mean value was highest for leaf area (152.70 cm²) and lowest was recorded for stem girth (1.32 cm). It is evident from the data depicted in Table 1. that the values for the phenotypic coefficient of variation (P.C.V) were found to be higher than the genotypic coefficient of variation (G.C.V) and there were very slight differences observed between the values of P.C.V and G.C.V in most of the traits studied. Therefore, narrow differences present among the genotypes indicates that there was very meagre influence of environment on the expression of these characters. The highest P.C.V and G.C.V values was recorded for the characters flower weight (27.59% and 27.50%, respectively) followed by internodal length (26.45% and 26.38%, respectively), number of leaves per plant (25.38% and 25.29%, respectively), number of flowers per plant (21.96% and 21.80%, respectively) and leaf area (21.49% and 21.36%, respectively) whereas, lowest P.C.V and G.C.V values were exhibited by plant spread (7.89% and 7.50%, respectively) suggesting low variability among the genotypes studied. Thus, high P.C.V and G.C.V values indicates the high variability among the characters due to genetic factor and low influence of environment which on further selection can lead to crop improvement. The above results are in close affirmation with the findings of Beura et al. (1995), Singh (2003) in dahlia and Raghava et al. (1992) where they also reported high P.C.V and G.C.V. for number of flowers per plant and narrow difference between P.C.V and G.C.V was also observed for all the characters studied.

The highest heritability (>90%) was exhibited by the internodal length (99.46%) followed by flower weight (99.35%), number of leaves per plant (99.28%), leaf area (98.74%), number of flowers per plant (98.53%), plant height (98.03%), vase life (97.50%), flower diameter (97.30%), flower stalk length (95.90%), days to bud appearance (93.29%), number of branches per plant (93.24%), duration of flowering (92.50%), plant spread (90.31%). Though estimates of high heritability is an important parameter but alone heritability value may not justify or associate with high genetic advance, genetic gain or determine the amount of variation that is heritable

(Ali et al., 2002). High heritability associated with high genetic advance suggests the contribution of additive gene effects whereas, high heritability along with low genetic advance suggests the occurrence of the non-additive gene effects for the governance and expression of a particular trait. Estimates of high heritability (98.74%) coupled with high genetic advance (66.75) was reported for leaf area followed by number of leaves (99.28 % and 37.10) while moderate heritability coupled with low genetic advance was exhibited by stem girth (80.00% and 0.43) and high heritability with low genetic advance was observed for vase life (97.50% and 1.60). High genetic gain was observed for flower weight (56.47%) followed by internodal length (54.20%), number of leaves per plant (51.91%), number of flowers per plant (44.57%) and leaf area (43.71%), whereas, moderate values was reported for plant height (35.32%), vase life (34.40%), stem girth (32.57%), flower diameter (28.39%) and flower stalk length (24.49%). Thus, the traits which showed high genetic gain were also coupled with high heritability and provides a good scope for selection. The findings are relatable with the observation recorded by Devi et al. (2020) in dahlia and Gantait et al. (2016) in gladiolus.

Table 2 and Table 3 depicts the phenotypic and genotypic correlation for 15 characters in dahlia. Correlation coefficient is used to estimate the degree of association or dependence degree between two or more variables, aids to comprehend the mutual association between various plant traits and through which selection can be made for yield improvement. Yield i.e., number of flowers per plant is a complex quantitative character which is observed to be associated with various number of component characters which occurs due to actions and interactions among component characters and awareness of the correlation between various characters is very essential as if two characters are positively correlated then one character can be enhanced indirectly by ameliorating the other character. At phenotypic and genotypic level, number of flowers per plant showed positive significant correlation with flower stalk length (0.321 and 0.323, respectively), internodal length (0.388 and 0.391, respectively) and plant height (0.393 and 0.402, respectively) and highly significant positive correlation with number of branches per plant (0.799 and 0.840, respectively), number of leaves per plant (0.560 and 0.871, respectively) and flower weight (0.575 and 0.578, respectively) whereas, negative

Table 2. Phenotypic correlation coefficient for 15 characters in Dahlia variabilis L.

Characters	X 1	X 2	X 3	X 4	X 5	9 X	X 7	8 X	6 X	X 10	X 11	X 12	X 13	X 14	X 15
X X X	0.402*														
X 3	0.083	-0.064													
X 4	0.015	-0.190	-0.090												
X 5	0.036	0.291	0.688**	-0.325											
9 X	0.559**	0.026	0.416^{*}	-0.271	0.197										
X 7	0.354*	-0.103	-0.401^*	-0.058	-0.361*	0.438*									
8 X	0.168	0.429*	-0.264	-0.476**	-0.085	-0.193	-0.216								
6 X	0.307	0.440^{*}	-0.135	-0.413*	-0.049	-0.092	-0.247	0.889**							
X 10	-0.412*	-0.415^{*}	0.044	-0.187	0.022	0.014	0.062	-0.506**	-0.519**						
X 11	0.589**	-0.046	-0.202	0.230	-0.324	0.1111	0.232	0.024	0.101	-0.248					
X 12	0.131	-0.070	-0.564**	0.407*	-0.601**	-0.372*	0.051	0.000	0.024	-0.151	0.731**				
X 13	0.849**	0.332	0.012	-0.017	-0.124	0.681**	0.404^{*}	0.070	0.218	-0.137	0.350^{*}	0.022			
X 14	-0.138	0.022	0.094	-0.049	-0.015	-0.203	-0.193	0.346^{*}	0.216	-0.035	-0.137	-0.364*	-0.161		
X 15	0.393*	0.126	0.799**	-0.120	0.860**	0.388*	-0.210	-0.259	-0.199	-0.404^{*}	-0.121	0.575^{*}	0.321^{*}	0.201	
* at 5% level of significance (significant), **at 1% level of significance (highly significant)	of significa	ınce (signii	ficant), **	nt 1% level	of significa	ance (highl	y significa	nt)							
X1 : Plant height	ght				X6: Ir	X6: Internodal length	ngth				X11: Flow6	X11: Flower diameter			
X2 : Plant spread	ead				X7: L	X7: Leaf area					X12: Flower weight	er weight			
X3: Number of branches per plant	of branches	s per plant			X8: D	X8: Days to bud appearance	appearanc	မ			X13: Flowe	X13: Flower stalk length	gth		
X4: Stem girth	h				X9: D	X9: Days to flowering	/ering				X14: Vase life	life			
X5: Number of leaves per plant	f leaves pe	r plant			X10:	X10: Duration of flowering	f flowering				X15: Numb	X15: Number of flowers per plant	rs per plan	ıt	

Table 3. Genotypic correlation coefficient for 15 characters in Dahlia variabilis L.

			2 2	X 4	X 2	9 X	X 7	× ×	6 X	X 10	X 11	X 12	X 13	X 14	X 15
	438*														
X 3 0.	0.095	-0.095													
	.019	-0.200	-0.070												
	.033	0.313	0.722**	-0.339											
	267**	0.029	0.431^{*}	-0.284	0.201										
	366*	-0.121	-0.423*	-0.062	-0.365^{*}	0.444**									
	.167	0.491**	-0.296	-0.504**	-0.091	-0.197	-0.216								
	347*	0.499**	-0.193	-0.467**	-0.042	-0.118	-0.268	0.994**							
	448**	-0.437*	0.058	-0.207	0.029	0.008	0.069	-0.503**	-0.467**						
	**665	-0.044	-0.202	0.233	-0.331	0.107	0.239	0.034	0.102	-0.282					
	.134	-0.079	-0.579**	0.415*	-0.606**	-0.372*	0.052	0.002	0.036	-0.157	0.744**				
	875**	0.370*	0.019	-0.026	-0.126	**969.0	0.413*	0.071	0.247	-0.135	0.375*	0.022			
	.141	0.027	0.103	-0.060	-0.017	-0.207	-0.189	0.349*	0.228	-0.033	-0.146	-0.371*	-0.154		
	402^{*}	0.141	0.840**	-0.123	0.871**	0.391*	-0.218	-0.275	-0.211	-0.401^{*}	-0.118	0.578*	0.323^{*}	0.212	

Significance (ingin) significant X6: Internodal length X7: Leaf area X8: Days to bud appearance X9: Days to flowering X10: Duration of flowering

X1: Plant height
X2: Plant spread
X3: Number of branches per plant
X4: Stem girth
X5: Number of leaves per plant

X11: Flower diameter
X12: Flower weight
X13: Flower stalk length
X14: Vase life
X15: Number of flowers per plant

significant correlation with duration of (-0.401)flowering and -0.404, respectively). Both the genotypic and phenotypic correlations were almost in same direction but the value of genotypic correlation coefficients were superior as compared to the corresponding phenotypic correlation coefficient. This low value of phenotypic correlation might be due to effect of the environment on the phenotype of the plants. Leffring (1973) reported a positive correlation between number of flowers produced and number of lateral shoots (branches) in gerbera. This positive significant correlation could be due to plant height which might have positive impact on number of branches, number of leaves that increases the internodal length and flower stalk length by accumulation of sufficient photosynthates ultimately increases the number of flowers per plant. Similar positive significant and highly significant correlation at both phenotypic and genotypic level are in conformity with the findings of Kumar (2019) and Raghupati et al. (2019) in dahlia and Kumar et al. (2012) in chrysanthemum. The negative significant correlation of number of flowers per plant with duration of flowering are in conformity with the findings of Vikas (2009) in dahlia. Therefore, selection of positively correlated characters provides an opportunity for the improvement and strategizing the crop breeding programme.

Table 4 and Table 5 depicts the direct and indirect effects on yield i.e., number of flowers per plant at both phenotypic and genotypic level. Path coefficient analysis has been extensively used in crop breeding programmes to estimate the direct and indirect effects of the component characters on yield by partitioning the correlation coefficients which aids in computing the impact of each significant character on the paramount yield. In this analysis, dependent variable was marked as number of flowers per plant (yield) and

Table 4. Genotypic path coefficient among different	otypic pat	th coefficie	ent among		characters in dahlia through direct and indirect effects	n dahlia th	rough dire	ct and indi	rect effect	Š					
Characters	X 1	X 2	X 3	X 4	X 5	9 X	X 7	X 8	6 X	X 10	X 11	X 12	X 13	X 14	'rg' values Number of flowers/ plant
X 1	0.551	0.285	0.062	0.012	0.021	0.369	0.238	0.109	0.226	-0.291	0.389	0.087	0.569	-0.092	0.402*
X 2	0.015	0.043	-0.003	-0.007	0.011	0.001	-0.004	0.017	0.018	-0.015	-0.002	-0.003	0.013	0.001	0.141
X 3	0.052	-0.052	0.659	-0.038	0.395	0.236	-0.231	-0.162	-0.105	0.032	-0.111	-0.316	0.011	0.056	0.840**
X 4	-0.004	0.043	0.015	-0.214	0.073	0.061	0.013	0.108	0.100	0.044	-0.050	-0.089	900.0	0.013	-0.123
X 5	0.018	0.170	0.391	-0.184	0.541	0.109	-0.198	-0.049	-0.023	0.016	-0.179	-0.328	-0.068	-0.009	0.871**
9X	-0.141	-0.007	-0.107	0.071	-0.050	0.249	-0.110	0.049	0.029	-0.002	-0.027	0.093	-0.173	0.051	0.391*
X7	0.073	-0.024	-0.084	-0.012	-0.073	0.088	0.199	-0.043	-0.053	0.014	0.048	0.010	0.082	-0.038	-0.218
8 X	-0.049	-0.146	0.088	0.150	0.027	0.058	0.064	-0.297	-0.295	0.149	-0.010	-0.001	-0.021	-0.104	-0.275
6 X	-0.038	-0.054	0.021	0.051	0.005	0.013	0.029	-0.108	-0.109	0.056	-0.011	-0.004	-0.027	-0.025	-0.211
X 10	-0.011	-0.010	0.001	-0.005	0.001	0.000	0.002	-0.012	-0.012	-0.502	-0.007	-0.004	-0.003	-0.001	-0.401*
X 11	-0.135	0.010	0.046	-0.053	0.074	-0.024	-0.054	-0.008	-0.023	0.063	-0.225	-0.167	-0.084	0.033	-0.118
X 12	0.040	-0.023	-0.171	0.123	-0.179	-0.110	0.015	0.001	0.011	-0.046	0.220	0.436	0.007	-0.110	0.578*
X 13	-0.225	-0.095	-0.005	0.007	0.032	-0.179	-0.106	-0.018	-0.063	0.035	-0.097	-0.006	0.296	0.040	0.323*
X14	-0.056	0.011	0.041	-0.024	-0.007	-0.082	-0.075	0.138	0.090	-0.013	-0.058	-0.147	-0.061	0.057	0.212
'r _e ': Genotypic correlation coefficient, Genotypic res	oic correla	tion coeffi	cient, Gen	otypic resi	sidual effect = 0.0269	= 0.02691									
X1 : Plant height	ight				X	X6: Internodal length	al length				X11:	X11: Flower diameter	ameter		
X2: Plant spread	read				X,	X7: Leaf area	B				X12:	X12: Flower weight	eight		
X3: Number of branches per plant	of branch	tes per plat	ot .		X	X8: Days to bud appearance	bud appea	rance			X13:	X13: Flower stalk length	alk length		
X4 : Stem girth	rth				X	X9: Days to flowering	flowering				X14:	X14: Vase life			
X5: Number of leaves per plant	of leaves 1	per plant			×	X10: Duration of flowering	n of flowe	ering							

	V	3
	۲	į
۶	7	4
	۵	5
	÷	٠
	ď	Š
	٤	
-	ζ	į
	Ξ	=
-	c	j
	Ξ	4
		3
	٤	5
	9	2
÷	÷	į
	_	
-	b	ί
	Ĕ	ž
	5	2
	5	3
	_	,
:	2	3
Ţ	c	3
	α	į
	_	•
	=	3
	v	2
	t	5
	÷	Š
	š	ź
	7	3
-	ç	į
	٠	•
	Ξ	=
)
	'n	٠.
,	Pre	5
ç	T Pro	5
	OITTER	
1.00	O CITTOR	
1.00	no dittere	111111111111111111111111111111111111111
1.00	ong differe	TOTAL CITY
1.00	mong differe	TOTAL STITUTE
1.00	t among differe	TOTTE GITTER
	int among dittere	TOTAL SHOULD IN
	1ent among dittere	TOTAL SHOULD SHOW
	101911 2110110 01111916	TOTAL STITUTE STITUTE
	11101Ph1 2mong differe	TIME SHOULD HOLDING
	Netticient among differe	TOTAL SHOULD MISTORIA
	COPTICION SMONG CITTORS	COLUMN TIPLE COLUMN COL
	1 COPTICIONI SMONG CITTORE	Total difficult difficulties
	th coetticient among dittere	the controlled and an analysis and
	nath coetticient among dittere	Tarrie Gironia minorations mad
	c nath coefficient among differe	Carlo Giron micronico mado
	nic nath coefficient among differe	ore participation and order
	Whic hath coefficient among differe	the part controlled and old
	otypic nath coefficient among differe	of the part control and all the
	notynic nath coefficient among differe	The part continued and the
	Jenotynic nath coetticient among dittere	ionotypic parii cocinicini among aidici
	Phenotypic nath coetticient among dittere	Helloty pic path cociniciant annum
	. Phenotypic nath coefficient among differe	i memory pic parti cociticioni atticiti
	Phenotypic path coefficient among differe	i memory pic parti cociticioni atticiti
	Phenotypic hath coefficient among differe	i memory pic parti cociticioni atticiti
	ble > Phenotypic hath coetticient among differe	i memory pic parti cociticioni atticiti
	Table > Phenotypic hath coefficient among differe	i memory pic parti cociticioni atticiti

Characters	X 1	X 2	X 3	X 4	X S	9 X	X 7	8 X	6 X	X 10	X 11	X 12	X 13	X 14	'rg' values Number of flowers/ plant
X 1 X 2	0.423 -0.017	0.170	0.035	0.007	0.015	0.236	0.150	0.071	0.130	-0.174	0.249	0.056	0.359	-0.058	0.393*
X 3 X 4	0.031	-0.024 0.017	0.372 0.008	-0.034 - 0.090	0.256 0.029	0.155 0.024	-0.149 0.005	-0.098 0.043	-0.050 0.037	0.016	-0.075 -0.021	-0.210 -0.037	0.004	0.035	0.799** -0.120
X 5 X 6	0.024	0.192	0.453	-0.214 0.065	0.541 -0.048	0.130 0.241	-0.238 -0.106	-0.056 0.047	-0.032 0.022	0.015	-0.213 -0.027	-0.396 0.090	-0.082 -0.164	-0.010 0.049	0.860**
X X X 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	0.049	-0.014	-0.056 0.061	-0.008	-0.050 0.020	0.061	0.139 0.050	-0.030 - 0.231	-0.034	0.009	0.032	0.007	0.056	-0.027	-0.210
6 X	-0.028	-0.040	0.012	0.038	0.004	0.008	0.023	-0.082	-0.092	0.043	-0.009	-0.002	-0.020	-0.020	-0.199
X 10 X 11	-0.003 -0.003	-0.003 0.000	0.000	-0.002 -0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001 -0.001	-0.004 0.000	-0.004 -0.001	-0.512 0.001	-0.007 - 0.006	-0.001 -0.004	-0.001 -0.002	0.000	-0.404 -0.121
X 12	0.004	-0.002	-0.019	0.014	-0.020	-0.013	0.002	0.000	0.001	-0.005	0.025	0.428	0.001	-0.012	0.575*
X 13 X 14	-0.068 -0.043	-0.027 0.007	-0.001 0.029	0.001	0.010	-0.055 -0.062	-0.032 -0.059	-0.006 0.106	-0.018 0.066	0.011	-0.028	-0.002 -0.112	0.250 -0.050	0.013 0.034	0.321° 0.201
'r _p ': Phenotypic correlation coefficient, Phenotypic resid Bold diagonal: Direct effect, Above and below diagonal	oic correla l : Direct e	tion coeffi ffect, Abo	cient, Phe	notypic res low diagon		<pre>lual effect = 0.04572 : Indirect effect</pre>	2								
X1 : Plant height X2 : Plant spread	ight ead				9X 7X	X6: Internodal length X7: Leaf area	al length 1				X11: X12:	X11: Flower diameter X12: Flower weight	meter ight		
X3 : Number of branches per plant X4 : Stem girth X5: Number of leaves per plant	of branche th of leaves p	es per plan er plant	ıt		8	X8: Days to bud appearance X9: Days to flowering X10: Duration of flowering	oud appear lowering n of flowe	ance			X13: X14:	X13: Flower stalk length X14: Vase life	lk length		

other characters (fourteen characters) was marked as independent variables. The residual effect is analyzed by the indirect and direct effects which assess the role of other possible independent variables which were not incorporated in the study on the dependent variable. At phenotypic and genotypic level, positive direct effects on number of flowers per plant was exhibited by number of leaves per plant (0.541 and 0.659, respectively) followed by plant height (0.423 and 0.551, respectively), flower weight (0.428 and 0.436, respectively), number of branches per plant (0.372 and 0.547, respectively), flower stalk length (0.250 and 0.257, respectively), internodal length (0.241 and 0.249, respectively), leaf area (0.139 and 0.199,respectively), plant spread (0.035 and 0.043, respectively) and vase life (0.034 and 0.057, respectively) whereas negative direct effects on number of flowers per plant was exhibited by days to first flowering (-0.109 and -0.092, respectively) followed by stem girth (-0.214 and -0.090, respectively), flower diameter (-0.225)and -0.006. respectively), days to bud appearance (-0.297 and -0.231, respectively), duration of flowering (-0.502 and -0.512, respectively). The residual effect of the phenotypic path coefficient analysis was 0.04572 (95.43%) and for genotypic path coefficient analysis, it was low i.e., 0.02691 which indicates that 97.309% of the variability which was due to the contribution of component characters (independent) on yield i.e., number of flowers per plant (dependent) and the remaining 2.961% of variability was due the other contribution factors which needs to be further identified for the future crop breeding programme. The present findings are in agreement with Prakash et al. (2018) in which they reported 94.69% of variability i.e., 0.0531 genotypic residual effect on number of flowers per plant in chrysanthemum at

genotypic level, Kumar (2019), reported

89% of variability at genotypic level in dahlia, Nimbalkar *et al.* (2004) in dahlia and Kumar *et al.* (2012) in chrysanthemum, reported 95.4% of variability i.e., 0.046 genotypic residual effect.

CONCLUSION

On the basis of above findings, it can be concluded that the characters i.e., number of flowers per plant (yield) showed positive significant correlation as well as positive direct effects with internodal length, flower weight and flower stalk length whereas, highly significant positive correlation with number of branches per plant and number of leaves per plant. Therefore, direct selection for these characters will have a positive impact on number of flowers per plant.

REFERENCES

- Ali, Z., Khan, A.S. and Asad, M.A. (2002). Salt tolerance in bread wheat: Genetic variation and heritability for growth and ion relation. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*, 1(4), 420-422.
- Al-Jibouri, H.A., Miller, P.A. and Robinson, H.F. (1958). Genotypic and environmental variances and covariances in an upland cotton cross of interspecific origin. *Journal of Agronomy*, 50(10), 633-636.
- Allard, R.W. (1960). *Principles of Plant Breeding*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA, 485 p.
- Beura, S., Maharana, T. and Jagadev, P.N. (1995). Genetic variability and character association in dahlia. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture*, *33*, 20-22.
- Burton, G.W. and Devane, E.M. (1953). Estimating heritability in tall fescue (Festuca arundinacea) from replicated clonal material. *Journal of Agronomy*, 45, 478-481.
- Chejara, S., Malik, K., Rani, M. and Bhardwaj, A.K. (2021). Integrated nutrient management: Concept, constraints, and advantages for a sustainable agriculture. *Journal of Natural Resource Conservation and Management*, 2(2), 85-94.
- Devi, M.S., Seetharamu, G.K., Patil, B.C., Hanchinamani, C.N., Kukanoor, S.D.L. and Nishani, S. (2020). Assessment of genetic variability among dahlia (Dahlia variabilis L.) genotypes for productivity and quality traits. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 9(4), 3134-3137.
- Gantait, S.S., Mahato, S.K. and Majumder, J. (2016). Genetic variability, character association and path coefficient analysis in gladiolus for various

- quantitative traits. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 73(4), 564-569.
- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E. (1955). Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soyabeans. *Journal of Agronomy*, 47, 314-318.
- Kumar, M., Kumar, S., Singh, M., Malik, S. and Kumar, A. (2012). Studies on correlation and path analysis in chrysanthemum (Dendranthema grandiflora Tzevelev.). *International Journal of Plant Research*, 25(2), 62-65.
- Kumar, R. (2019). Evaluation of dahlia (Dahlia variabilis L.) cultivars for growth and flowering characteristics under sub-montane, sub-tropical low hill zone of Himanchal Pradesh. M.Sc. Thesis, Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni (Solan), Himanchal Pradesh, India, 66 p.
- Leffring, L. (1973). Flower production in gerbera: I. Correlations between shoot, leaf and flower formation in seedlings. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 1(3), 221-229.
- Nimbalkar, C.A., Dhane, A.V. and Bajaj, V.H. (2004). Relative contribution of component characters on yield of dahlia. *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities*, 29(3), 351-352.
- Prakash, A., Kumar, M., Singh, C., Kumar, A., Badal, D.S. and Singh, S. (2018). Correlation and path analysis studies in chrysanthemum (Dendranthema grandiflora Tzvelev). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(2), 3890-3893.
- Raghava, S.P.S., Negi, S.S. and Nancharaiah, D. (1992). Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in chrysanthemum. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 49(2), 200-204.
- Raghupathi, B., Sarkar, M.M. and Banerjee, S. (2019). Evaluation of genetic variability, correlation and path co-efficient analysis for cut flower attributing traits in medium decorative dahlia (Dahlia variabilis L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(1), 465-469.
- Singh, R.K. (2003). Variability studies in dahlia for some quantitative traits. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, *6*(1), 58-60.
- Vikas, H.M. (2009). Performance of dahlia (Dahlia variabilis L.) accessions under transitional zone of Karnataka. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India, 64 p.
- Wright, S. (1921). Correlation and causation. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 20(7), 557-585.